

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/629,863	07/30/2003	Yu-Chun Peng	0941-0800P	7369
2292	7590 10/17/2006		EXAMINER	
	EWART KOLASCH &	PENDLETO	PENDLETON, BRIAN T	
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•		2615	
		DATE MAILED: 10/17/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/629,863	PENG ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Brian T. Pendleton	2615				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONET	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 Ju	ılv 2003.					
		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>6-9</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>30 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
***	*.						
Attachment		4 .□					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) 2) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:							

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Scarlett et al, US

Patent 6,856,046. Scarlett discloses a plug detection device comprising jack J1, first pin 14,
second pin 10, and discrimination circuit 32. The discrimination circuit 32 determines the type
of device plugged into the jack J1 from among a stereo headphone 26 with two speakers,
telephone headset 28 that has two speakers and a microphone, and phone headset 30 with a
single speaker and a microphone. As disclosed in column 4 line 35 – column 6 line 20, the
resistance between pins 14 and 10 and a common contact 22 determine the type of device
plugged in. The first and second detection circuits are comparators CMP1 and CMP2 (see figure
3) which are electrically coupled to the first and second pins and compare voltages V6 and V7 to
output first and second logic potentials CMP1OUT and CMP2OUT which detect the presence of
a microphone function. Claim 1 is rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scarlett et al.

Scarlett et al discloses that logic potential CMP3OUT is low when no plug is connected to the earphone jack but does not disclose that the first and second potentials CMP1OUT and COMP2OUT are low when no plug is connected to the earphone jack. However, it would have been obvious to one or ordinary skill that the circuitry in Scarlett et al could be modified to provide CMP1OUT and CMP2OUT with low potentials when no plug is connected as that configuration provided no unexpected results over the present configuration. Regarding claims 3 and 4, the same reasoning as claim 2 applies – the choice of the values of the logic potentials for a particular device being plugged in was one of obvious design to an artisan.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Scarlett et al in view of Lim, US Patent 6,922,572. Scarlett et al do not disclose that the jack has a third pin wherein the third pin is coupled to the grounded conductive ring when the plug is connected to the earphone jack. Lim discloses a 4 pole earphone which has a jack having a third pin 1 which is coupled to the grounded conductive ring of the plug. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Scarlett to have a third pin in jack J1 for the purpose of accommodating a four pole earphone.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 6-9 are allowed.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Scarlett does not disclose the a first capacitor and second capacitor specifically arranged with the

Application/Control Number: 10/629,863 Page 4

Art Unit: 2615

six resistors and switched, as claimed in independent claim 6. As a result, claim 6 and its dependents, claims 7-9 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Aotake et al, US Patent 6,819,942; Mizukami et al, US Patent 6,069,960; Kim et al, US Patent 6,397,087.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Brian T. Pendleton whose telephone number is (571) 272-7527. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 7-4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivian Chin can be reached on (571) 272-7848. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

btp

Brian T. Pendleton Primary Examiner Art Unit 2615

30.2